PHOTOSYNTHETIC PIGMENT DETERMINATION USING ETHANOL EXTRACTION

Principle

A water sample of known volume containing photosynthetic organisms is filtered through glass fibre filter paper and chlorophyll is extracted in a refrigerator overnight or at 60^oC for 1 hour in ethanol (preferred over methanol for safety reasons). The absorbance of the chlorophyll extract is read spectrophotometrically and readings used to calculate the concentration of chlorophyll *a*, phaeophytin and carotenoid accessory pigments.

Procedures

- 1. Filter 1 litre of water (volume depends on the amount of suspended material) through a preweighed Whatman 47 mm GF/C filter paper, using slight vacuum (no more than 0.5 Bar=30cm. Hg).
- 2. Weigh filter and place in a stoppered centrifuge tube,eg Hach tube. Freeze filter, or for preference put immediately into extractant. (Water content of the filter determined by weighing the filter dry and after filtration: normally is ~0.4-0.5ml)
- 3. Extract each filter in 19 volumes of 100% ethanol (industrial methylated spirit); eg. if water content was 0.5g, add 9.5 ml. (This procedure ensures that the water content of the final mix is not greater than 5%). Mark the solvent level on the tube, invert several times to mix.

Solutions : 100% and 95% ethanol (industrial methylated spirit) saturated with MgCO₃

- 4. Wrap tubes in aluminium foil to exclude light: light degrades chlorophyll.
- 5. Place tubes in a waterbath at 60^oC for 1 hour. This speeds extraction and destroys chlorophyllase.
- 6. Remove tubes, invert several times, and allow to cool on ice. They can be stored overnight in a fridge or freezer. Any evaporative loss should be made up with 100% ethanol, topping up to the mark again. (extraction for 24 hours is recommended)
- 7. Centrifuge without removing the filter at full speed (about 3500 rpm) in a bench centrifuge for 15 minutes. Decant or pipette out the extract: check volume. Alternatively the filter may be removed (squeeze out the solvent on the side of the tube) before spinning. The volume of solvent must be greater than 8 ml to read in a 4 cm path length spectrophotometer cell. For convenience the volume may be adjusted to exactly 10 ml; otherwise record the volume to the nearest 0.1 ml for use in subsequent calculation.

Cells used should be read against the reference, containing the same blank solution^{*}, before use at all wavelengths, as not all cells are perfectly matched: subtract any differences from the extract readings. If acidifying extracts, read acidified blank cells also.

- 8. Read the absorbance against a suitable reference [*MgCO₃-saturated 95% ethanol which has been centrifuged or filtered (Whatman No. 1)] at the following wavelengths, in a 4 cm glass cell : 750, 665, 510 and 480 nm. Return wavelength to 665.
 The OD at 665 should be between 0.2 and 0.8: it may be necessary to dilute more concentrated samples (or read them in a shorter path length cell) -see Marker et al, 1980.
- 9. <u>To determine phaeophytin **</u> Acidification of chlorophyll degrades it to phaeophytin with a change in Specific Absorbance Coefficient (SAC), which can be used to estimate the amount of phaeophytin present before acidification. In methanol (ethanol) the extract must be neutralised before re-reading absorbance, in order to prevent complications due to differences in pH. (pH after neutralisation can be checked with pH paper)

The method assumes an extract volume of 10 ml.

- (a) Add directly to the cuvette 0.1 ml of 1 M HCl (10%), mix.
- (b) Leave for 2 minutes
- (c) Add 0.13 ml* of 1 M 2-phenylethylamine in ethanol (12.1g in 100 ml), mix.
- (d) Read absorbance at 665 and 750 nm.

* with new solutions, check the amount of base needed to neutralise 0.1ml acid: add 0.1ml base and check by spotting on pH paper, then add 10µl aliquots until pH7 is reached

10. Calculations:

Subtract cuvette blanks at each wavelength from all readings. Subtract A_{750} (turbidity blank) from all other readings.

Equations refer to corrected values: (Parsons, Maita and Lalli (1984) equations for acetone**)

chlorophyll *a* (µg l⁻¹) = 29.11** [A665_o] - A665_a] s d
V
$$\rho$$

** modification to factor if used in ethanol: change to 29.11 from 26.7. <u>Not rigorously tested yet</u>

phaeophytin *a* (µ gl⁻¹) = $\frac{29.11^{**}[1.7 \text{ (A665}_a) - \text{A6650}] \text{ s d}}{V \rho}$ d = dilution factor (if necessary to reduce A665 to <0.8) eg. for 1in 5 dilution, d = 5 ρ = path length of cell, cm s = solvent extract volume, ml V = sample volume, litres A665_o= absorbance at 665 nm <u>before</u> acidification A665_a = absorbance at 665 nm <u>after</u> acidification and neutralisation.

Notes

Method for phaeophytin is according to the recommendations of Marker, Crowther and Gunn (1980) and Marker *et al.* (1980) for methanolic extracts. Webb *et al.* (1992) found that spectrophotometric methods greatly overestimate phaeophytin compared to chromatographic methods, and therefore do not recommend its use. As an alternative, calculate chlorophyll a according to this equation:

Chlorophyll a (
$$\mu$$
g l⁻¹) = 11.99 (A665-A750)s
V_p
Marker *et al.* (1980)
Recommended equation for ethanol
(cf. constant in methanol=12.99)

Carotenoids: calculate as 'microscopic pigment units' =µspu.

Most formulae given refer to acetone extracts, e.g. Strickland and Parsons (1968):

(a) $\mu \text{ spu } I^{-1} = \underline{4.0 (A480 - A750) \cdot s}$ if predominantly Chlorophyta or Cyanophyta $V\rho$

(b) =
$$\frac{10.0 (A480-A750) \text{ s}}{V_{\rho}}$$
 if predominantly Chrysophyta or Pyrrophyta

Parsons, Maita and Lalli (1984) give this formula:

(c)
$$\mu \text{ spu I}^{-1} = \frac{7.6 \left[(A480 - A750) - 1.49 (A510 - A750) \right] \text{ s}}{V_{\text{P}}}$$

[Foy (1987) used formula (a) with methanolic extracts of Cyanophyta.]

NB. Extraction from stones:

Drain excess moisture from the stones and place each stone in a resealable plastic bag (double-wrap for security), weigh.

Add an appropriate volume of extractant for the size of the stone, seal and re-weigh. Avoid exposure to bright light. Appropriate volumes:- eg. 50 ml., depending on size of stone and amount of algal cover. With dense mats estimate the water content and use 9.5 volumes of 100% alcohol, diluting further with 95% if needed.

Place in a water bath at 60°C for 1 hour, cool (on ice). Re-weigh to check no evaporative loss (or gain though leakage).

Remove 10ml to a Hach tube, centrifuge. Extracts may require dilution: A₆₆₃ should not exceed 0.8.

Measure absorbance as with filtered samples.

Calculate chlorophyll yield per unit area (cm²) of stone surface. Stone surface covered by algae can be determined by wrapping the area with foil and comparing the foil weight with a known area. Area takes the place of sample volume (V) in the formula.

REFERENCES

- Arvola, L. 1981. Spectrophotometric determination of chlorophyll-a and phaeopigments in ethanol extractions. Ann Bot Fennici. 18. 221-227
- Foy, R H. 1987. A comparison of chlorophyll a and carotenoid concentrations as indicators of algal volume. Freshwater Biology. **17(2)**. 237-250
- Hansson, L-A. 1988. Chlorophyll-a determination of periphyton on sediments: identification of problems and recommendation of method. Freshwater Biology. **20.** 347-352

Holm-Hansen, O and Riemann, B. 1978. Chlorophyll a determination: improvements in methodology. Oikos. 30. 438-447

- Jespersen, A-M and Christoffersen, K. 1987. Measurements of chlorophyll-a from phytoplankton using ethanol as extraction solvent. Archives of Hydrobiology. **109(3).** 445-454
- Lorenzen, C J. 1967. Determination of chlorophyll and pheo-pigments: spectrophotometric equations. Limnology and Oceanography. **12**. 343-346
- Marker, A F H. 1972. The use of acetone and methanol in the estimation of chlorophyll in the presence of phaeophytin. Freshwater Biology. 2. 361-385
- Marker, A F H. 1977. Some problems arising from the estimation of chlorophyll a and pheophytin a in methanol. Limnology and Oceanography. **22(3).** 578-579
- Marker, A F H, Crowther, C A and Gunn, R J M. 1980. Methanol and acetone as solvents for estimating chlorophyll-a and phaeopigments by spectrophotometry. Archives of Hydrobiology Bulletin (Ergebnisse der Limnologie). **14.** 52-69
- Marker, A F H, Nusch, E A, Rai, H and Riemann, B. 1980. The measurement of photosynthetic pigments in freshwaters and standardization of methods: conclusions and recommendations. Archives of Hydrobiology Bulletin (Ergebnisse der Limnologie). 14. 91-106
- Moss, B. 1967 (a). A spectrophotometric method for the estimation of percentage degradation of chlorophylls to pheo-pigments in extracts of algae. Limnology and Oceanography. **12.** 335-340
- Moss, B. 1967 (b). A note on the estimation of chlorophyll-a in freshwater algal communities. Limnology and Oceanography. **12.** 340-342
- Nusch, EA. 1980. Comparison of different methods for chlorophyll and pheopigment determination. Archives of Hydrobiology Bulletin (Ergebnisse der Limnologie). **14.** 14-36
- Parsons, T R and Strickland, J D. 1963. Discussion of spectrophotometric determination of marine plant pigments with revised equations for ascertaining chlorophylls. Journal of Marine Research. **21**. 155-163
- Parsons, TR, Maita, Y & Lalli, CM. 1984. A Manual of Chemical and Biological methods for seawater analysis. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- Rai, H. 1980. Comparison between trichromatic spectrophotometric equations: sources of error. Archives of Hydrobiology. **88(4).** 514-517
- Strickland, J D H et al. 1968. Spectrophotometric determination of chlorophylls and total carotenoids. Fisheries Research Board of Canada Bulletin. **167.** 185-192
- Strickland, J D H and Parsons, T R. 1972. A Practical Handbook of Seawater Analysis, 2nd Edition. Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Ottawa. .
- Tett, P, Kelly, M G and Hornberger, G M. 1975. A method for the spectrophotometric measurement of chlorophyll a and pheophytin a in benthic microalgae. Limnology and Oceanography. **20**. 887-896
- Tett, P, Kelly, M G and Hornberger, G M. 1977. Estimation of chlorophyll *a* and pheophytin *a* in methanol. Limnology and Oceanography. **22**.579-580
- Webb, D J, Burnison, B K, Trimbee, A M and Prepas, E E. 1992. Comparison of chlorophyll-a extractions with ethanol and dimethyl sulfoxide-acetone, and a concern about spectrophotometric phaeopigment correction. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 49(11). 2331-2336

Wood, LW. 1985. Chloroform-methanol extraction of chlorophyll a. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 42.38-43